

REMARKS

The present amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed October 04, 2005, in which Claims 19-22 and 27 were rejected. Applicant has thoroughly reviewed the outstanding Office Action including the Examiner's remarks and the reference cited therein. The following remarks are believed to be fully responsive to the Office Action and render all claims at issue patentably distinguishable over the cited references.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections set forth in the Office Action mailed October 04, 2005 are respectfully requested.

I. Claim Objections

Claim 23 is amended as an independent claim including all limitations of original Claims 19 and 22. Consequently, Claim 23 is no longer dependent upon a rejected base claim, and therefore the objection of Claim 23 is overcome.

Since Claims 24-26 are respectively dependent upon Claim 23, these claims are not dependent upon a rejected base claim, neither. Hence, Claims 24-26 are patentable based on the patentability of Claim 23.

II. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Applicant cancels Claims 19, 21 and 22, and the rejections of these claims are therefore overcome.

III. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 20 and 27 are both cancelled, so the rejections of these claims are naturally overcome.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, Claims 1-18 and 23-26 pending in the application comply with the requirements of patentability define over the applied art. A Notice of Allowance is, therefore, respectfully requested.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0665, under Order No. 386998047US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated:

11/9/05

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Chun M. Ng

Registration No.: 36,878

PERKINS COIE LLP

P.O. Box 1247

Seattle, Washington 98111-1247

(206) 359-8000

(206) 359-7198 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant